UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO.             | FILING DATE                    | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |  |
|-----------------------------|--------------------------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|--|
| 10/810,442                  | 03/26/2004                     | John W. Ketchum      | 000252C1            | 8969             |  |
|                             | 7590 07/22/200<br>INCORPORATED | 9                    | EXAMINER            |                  |  |
| 5775 MOREHO<br>SAN DIEGO, O | OUSE DR.                       |                      | CORRIELUS, JEAN B   |                  |  |
| SAN DIEGO, C                | A 92121                        |                      | ART UNIT            | PAPER NUMBER     |  |
|                             |                                |                      | 2611                |                  |  |
|                             |                                |                      |                     |                  |  |
|                             |                                |                      | NOTIFICATION DATE   | DELIVERY MODE    |  |
|                             |                                |                      | 07/22/2009          | ELECTRONIC       |  |

## Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

us-docketing@qualcomm.com kascanla@qualcomm.com nanm@qualcomm.com

| Office Action Summary  |  | Applicat   | Application No.  |   | Applicant(s)     |  |
|--|--|--|--|---|------------------|--|
|  |  | 10/810,4   | 142  | KETCHUM, JOH  | KETCHUM, JOHN W. |  |
|  |  | Examine  | r  | Art Unit  |                  |  |
|  |  | Jean B. (  | Corrielus  | 2611  |                  |  |
| Period fo  | The MAILING DATE of this communic<br>r Reply   | ation appears on th  | ne cover sheet wi  | th the correspondence a   | ddress           |  |
| WHIC<br>- Exter<br>after<br>- If NO<br>- Failu<br>Any r  | ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR HEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAN IS IN THE MAN | ALING DATE OF T<br>f 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no e<br>nication.<br>utory period will apply and v<br>ill, by statute, cause the ap | THIS COMMUNIC<br>event, however, may a re<br>will expire SIX (6) MON<br>epilication to become AB | CATION. eply be timely filed ITHS from the mailing date of this BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). |                  |  |
| Status   |  |  |  |   |                  |  |
| 2a)⊠   | Responsive to communication(s) filed This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2! Since this application is in condition for closed in accordance with the practice  | o)∏ This action is<br>or allowance excep   | t for formal matt  | •   | ıe merits is     |  |
| Dispositi  | on of Claims   |  |  |   |                  |  |
| 5)□<br>6)⊠<br>7)□<br>8)□<br>Applicati  | Claim(s) 7-11,13-15,23-26,28 and 29  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are Claim(s) is/are allowed.  Claim(s) 7-11, 13-15, 23-26, 28-29 is/ Claim(s) is/are objected to.  Claim(s) are subject to restriction  on Papers  The specification is objected to by the   | e withdrawn from co  | onsideration.  |   |                  |  |
| 10)  | The drawing(s) filed on is/are: Applicant may not request that any object Replacement drawing sheet(s) including t The oath or declaration is objected to  | a)  accepted or b<br>ion to the drawing(s)<br>he correction is requi   | be held in abeyan<br>ired if the drawing   | nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). (s) is objected to. See 37 C                                       | , ,              |  |
| Priority u   | ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119  |  |  |   |                  |  |
| <ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul> |  |  |  |   |                  |  |
| 2)  Notic 3) Inforr  | t <b>(s)</b> e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PT nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date <u>3/11/09 and 6/2/09</u> .  | O-948)   | Paper No(s   | Summary (PTO-413)<br>s)/Mail Date<br>nformal Patent Application<br>                         |                  |  |

Art Unit: 2611

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

1. Applicant's response has overcome the outstanding 112 first paragraph rejection.

# Claim Objections

2. Claims 7, 15 and 29 are objected to because of the following informalities: Claim 7, line 7 before "modulation", "frequency coded" should be inserted; line 10, please expand "FFT". Claim 15, line 4, please expand "FFT". Claim 29, line 2, "further" needs to be deleted. Appropriate correction is required.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

3. Applicant's response has overcome the 112 second paragraph rejection of claim 29.

#### Terminal Disclaimer

- 4. The terminal disclaimer filed on 6/2/09 disclaiming the terminal portion of any patent granted on this application which would extend beyond the expiration date of Patent No. 6,731, 668 has been reviewed and is NOT accepted.
- An attorney or agent, not of record, is not authorized to sign a terminal disclaimer in the capacity as an attorney or agent acting in a representative capacity as provided by 37 CFR 1.34 (a). See 37 CFR 1.321(b) and/or (c).

#### **Double Patenting**

6. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the

Art Unit: 2611

unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. A nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting rejection is appropriate where the conflicting claims are not identical, but at least one examined application claim is not patentably distinct from the reference claim(s) because the examined application claim is either anticipated by, or would have been obvious over, the reference claim(s). See, e.g., *In re Berg*, 140 F.3d 1428, 46 USPQ2d 1226 (Fed. Cir. 1998); *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) or 1.321(d) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent either is shown to be commonly owned with this application, or claims an invention made as a result of activities undertaken within the scope of a joint research agreement.

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

7. Claims 7-11, 13-15, 23-26, and 28-29 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness- type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 7, 9-11, 13-16, 25-27, 29-30 and 32 US Patent No. 6,731,668. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because Claim 7 of the instant application is fully encompassed by claim 7 of the patent.

Claim 9 of the instant application is fully encompassed by claim 9 of the patent.

Claim 10 of the instant application is fully encompassed by claim 10 of the patent.

Claim 11 of the instant application is fully encompassed by claim 11 of the patent.

Claim 13 of the instant application is fully encompassed by claim 13 of the patent.

Claim 14 of the instant application is fully encompassed by claim 14 of the patent.

Claim 15 of the instant application is fully encompassed by claim 15 of the patent.

Claim 8 of the instant application is fully encompassed by claim 16 of the patent.

Art Unit: 2611

Claim 24 of the instant application is fully encompassed by claim 25 of the patent. claim 25 of the instant application is fully encompassed by claim 26 of the patent. claim 26 of the instant application is fully encompassed by claim 27 of the patent. claim 28 of the instant application is fully encompassed by claim 29 of the patent. claim 29 of the instant application is fully encompassed by claim 30 of the patent. claim 23 of the instant application is fully encompassed by claim 32 of the patent. And as such anticipate(s) claim(s) 7-11, 13-15, 23-26, and 28-29 of the instant application. A later patent claim is not patentably distinct from an earlier patent claim if the later claim is obvious over, or anticipated by, the earlier claim. In re Longi, 759 F.2d at 896, 225 USPQ at 651 (affirming a holding of obviousness-type double patenting because the claims at issue were obvious over claims in four prior art patents); In re Berg, 140 F.3d at 1437, 46 USPQ2d at 1233 (Fed. Cir. 1998) (affirming a holding of obviousness-type double patenting where a patent application claim to a genus is anticipated by a patent claim to a species within that genus). " ELI LILLY AND COMPANY v BARR LABORATORIES, INC., United States Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit, ON PETITION FOR REHEARING EN BANC (DECIDED: May 30, 2001).

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

8. Applicant's response has overcome the outstanding 103 rejection.

#### Conclusion

9. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

Art Unit: 2611

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jean B. Corrielus whose telephone number is 571-272-3020. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday from 9:30-3:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Chieh Fan can be reached on 571-272-3042. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 2611

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Jean B Corrielus/

Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2611